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More than 40 years ago the standard model offered an elegant new step in understanding the origin of fermions and bosons by postulating:

- The existence of the massless family members with the charges in the fundamental representation of the groups $o$ the coloured triplet quarks and colourless leptons, o the left handed members as the weak charged doublets
$o$ the right handed weak chargeless members,
o the left handed quarks distinguishing in the hyper charge from the left handed leptons,
o each right handed member having a different hyper charge.
- The existence of massless families to each of a family member.

| $\alpha$ name | $\begin{gathered} \text { hand- } \\ \text { edness } \\ -4 \mathrm{iS}^{03} \mathrm{~S}^{12} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { weak } \\ \text { charge } \\ \tau^{13} \end{array}$ | hyper charge Y | colour <br> charge | charge |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $u_{L}^{i}$ | -1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | colour triplet | $\frac{2}{3}$ |
| $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{L}}^{\text {i }}$ | -1 | $-\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | colour triplet | $-\frac{1}{3}$ |
| $\nu$ Li | -1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $-\frac{1}{2}$ | colourless | 0 |
| $e_{L}^{i}$ | -1 | $-\frac{1}{2}$ | $-\frac{1}{2}$ | colourless | -1 |
| $u_{R}^{i}$ | 1 | weakless | $\frac{2}{3}$ | colour triplet | $\frac{2}{3}$ |
| $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{R}}^{\mathrm{i}}$ | 1 | weakless | $-\frac{1}{3}$ | colour triplet | $-\frac{1}{3}$ |
| $\nu_{R}^{i}$ | 1 | weakless | 0 | colourless | 0 |
| $e_{R}^{i}$ | 1 | weakless | -1 | colourless | -1 |

Members of each of the $i=1,2,3$ massless families before the electroweak break. Each family contains the left handed weak charged quarks and the right handed weak chargeless quarks, belonging to the colour triplet $(1 / 2,1 /(2 \sqrt{3})),(-1 / 2,1 /(2 \sqrt{3})),(0,-1 /(\sqrt{3}))$.

## And the anti-fermions to each family and family member.

- The existence of the massless vector gauge fields to the observed charges of the family members, carrying charges in the adjoint representation of the charge groups.

Gauge fields before the electroweak break

- Three massless vector fields, the gauge fields of the three charges.

| name | hand- <br> edness | weak <br> charge | hyper <br> charge | colour <br> charge | elm <br> charge |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| hyper photon | 0 | 0 | 0 | colourless | 0 |
| weak bosons | 0 | triplet | 0 | colourless | triplet |
| gluons | 0 | 0 | 0 | colour octet | 0 |

They all are vectors in $d=(3+1)$, in the adjoint representations with respect to the weak, colour and hyper charges.

- The existence of a massive scalar field - the higgs, o carrying the weak charge $\pm \frac{1}{2}$ and the hyper charge $\mp \frac{1}{2}$ as it would be in the fundamental representation of the groups,
o gaining at some step a "nonzero vacuum expectation values", breaking the weak and the hyper charge and correspondingly breaking the mass protection.
- The existence of the Yukawa couplings,
o taking care of the properties of fermions and
o the masses of the heavy bosons.
- The Higgs's field, the scalar in $d=(3+1)$, a doublet with respect to the weak charge. $P_{R}=(-1)^{2 s+3 B+L}=1$.

| name | hand- <br> edness | weak <br> charge | hyper <br> charge | colour <br> charge | elm <br> charge |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| $0 \cdot \operatorname{Higgs}_{u}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | colourless | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| $<$ Higgs $_{d}>$ | 0 | $-\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | colourless | $\mathbf{0}$ |


| name | hand- <br> edness | weak <br> charge | hyper <br> charge | colour <br> charge | elm <br> charge |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| $<$ Higgs $_{u}>$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $-\frac{1}{2}$ | colourless | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| $0 \cdot$ Higgs $_{d}$ | 0 | $-\frac{1}{2}$ | $-\frac{1}{2}$ | colourless | $-\mathbf{1}$ |

- There is the gravitational field in $\mathrm{d}=(3+1)$.
- The standard model assumptions have been confirmed without offering surprises.
- The last unobserved field as a field, the Higgs's scalar, detected in June 2012, was confirmed in March 2013.
- The waves of the gravitational field were detected in February 2016.

There are many phenomena

- the dark matter,
- the matter-antimatter asymmetry,
- the dark energy,
- the observed dimension of space time,
- many other phenomena,
not yet understood.

Obviously it is the time to make a next steps beyond both standard models.

What questions should one ask to find next steps beyond the standard model and to understand not yet understood phenomena?

- o Where do family members originate?
o Where do charges of family members originate?
o Why are the charges of family members so different?
o Why have the left handed family members so different charges from the right handed ones?
- o Where do families of family members originate?
o How many different families exist?
o Why do family members - quarks and leptons manifest so different properties if they all start as massless?
- o How is the origin of the scalar field (the Higgs's scalar) and the Yukawa couplings connected with the origin of families?
o How many scalar fields determine properties of the so far (and others possibly be) observed fermions and masses of weak bosons? (The Yukawa couplings certainly speak for the existence of several scalar fields with the properties of Higgs's scalar.)
- Why is the Higgs's scalar, or are all scalar fields, if there are several, doublets with respect to the weak and the hyper charge?
- Do exist also scalar fields with the colour charge in the fundamental representation and where, if they are, do they manifest?
- Where do the charges and correspondingly the so far (and others possibly be) observed vector gauge fields originate?
- Where does the dark matter originate?
- Where does the "ordinary" matter-antimatter asymmetry originate?
- Where does the dark energy originate?
-What is the dimension of space? $(3+1)$ ?, $((d-1)+1) ?, \infty$ ?
- What is the role of the symmetries- discrete, continuous, global and gauge - in our universe, in Nature?
- And many others.


## My statement:

- An elegant trustworthy next step must offer answers to several open questions, explaining:
o The origin of the family members and the charges.
o The origin of the families and their properties.
o The origin of the scalar fields and their properties.
o The origin of the vector fields and their properties.
o The origin of the dark matter.
o The origin of the "ordinary" matter-antimatter
asymmetry.

My statement continues:

- There exist not yet observed families, gauge vector and scalar gauge fields.
- Dimension of space is larger than 4 (very probably infinite).
- Inventing a next step which covers one of the open questions, might be of a help but can hardly show the right next step in understanding nature.

In the literature NO explanation for the existence of the families can be found, which would not just assume the family groups.
Several extensions of the standard model are, however, proposed, like:

- The $S U(3)$ group is assumed to describe - not explain the existence of three families.
Like the Higgs's scalar charges are in the fundamental representations of the groups, also the Yukawas are assumed to emerge from the scalar fields, in the fundamental representation of the $S U(3)$ group.
- SU(5) and SO(10) grand unified theories are proposed, unifying all the charges. But the spin (the handedness) is obviously connected with the (weak and the hyper) charges, what these theories do "by hand" as it does the standard model, and the appearance of families is not explained.
- Supersymmetric theories, assuming the existence of bosons with the charges of quarks and leptons and fermions with the charges of the gauge vector fields, although having several nice properties but not explaining the appearance of families (except again by assuming larger groups), are not, to my understanding, the right next step beyond the standard model.
o The Spin-Charge-Family theory does offer the explanation for all the assumptions of the standard model, answering up to now several of the above cited open questions!
o The more effort is put into this theory, the more answers to the open questions in elementary particle physics and cosmology is the theory offering.
- A brief introduction into the spin-charge-family theory.
- Spinors carry in $d \geq(13+1)$ two kinds of spin, no charges.
o The Dirac spin $\left(\gamma^{a}\right)$ in $d=(13+1)$ describes in $d=(3+1)$ spin and ALL the charges of quarks and leptons, left and right handed.
o The second kind of spin ( $\tilde{\gamma}^{a}$ ) describes FAMILIES.
o There is NO third kind of spin.
- C,P,T symmetries in $d=(3+1)$ follow from the C,P,T symmetry in $d \geq(13+1)$. (JHEP 04 (2014) 165)
- All vector and scalar gauge fields origin in gravity: $o$ in two spin connection fields, the gauge fields of $\gamma^{a}$ and $\tilde{\gamma}^{a}$, and in
o vielbeins
(Eur. Phys. J. C, DOI: 10.1140/epjc/s10052-017-4804-y)
- If there are no spinor sources present, then either vector ( $\vec{A}_{m}^{A}, m=0,1,2,3$ ) or scalar ( $\left.\vec{A}_{s}^{A}, s=5,6, . ., d\right)$ gauge fields are determined by vielbeins uniquely.
- Spinors interact correspondingly with
o the vielbeins and
o the two kinds of the spin connection fields.
- In $d=(3+1)$ the spin-connection fields, together with the vielbeins, manifest either as
o vector gauge fields with all the charges in the adjoint representations or as
o scalar gauge fields with the charges with respect to the space index in the "fundamental" representations and all the other charges in the adjoint representations or as
o tensor gravitational field.

There are two kinds of scalar fields with respect to the space index $s$ :

- Those with ( $s=5,6,7,8$ ) (they carry zero "spinor charge") are doublets with respect to the $S U(2)$, (the weak) charge and the second $S U(2)_{\| /}$charge (determining the hyper charge). They are in the adjoint representations with respect to the family and the family members charges.
o These scalars explain the Higgs's scalar and the Yukawa couplings.
- Those with twice the "spinor charge" of a quark and ( $s=9,10, . . d$ ) are colour triplets. Also they are in the adjoint representations with respect to the family and the family members charges.
o These scalars transform antileptons into quarks, and antiquarks into quarks and back and correspondingly contribute to matter-antimatter asymmetry of our universe and to proton decay.
- There are no additional scalar fields in the spin-charge-family theory.


## Condensate

- The (assumed) scalar condensate of two right handed neutrinos with the family quantum numbers of the upper four families (there are two four family groups in the theory), appearing $\approx 10^{16} \mathrm{GeV}$ or higher,
o breaks the CP symmetry, causing the matter-antimatter asymmetry and the proton decay,
o couples to all the scalar fields, making them massive,
o couples to all the phenomenologically unobserved vector gauge fields, making them massive.
- The vector fields, which do not couple to the condensate and remain massless, are: o the hyper charge vector field.
o the weak vector fields,
o the colour vector fields, o the gravity fields.

The $S U(2)_{\text {II }}$ symmetry breaks due to the condensate, leaving the hyper charge unbroken.

## Nonzero vacuum expectation values of scalars

- The scalar fields with the space index (7,8), gaining nonzero vacuum expectation values, cause the electroweak break,
o breaking the weak and the hyper charge,
o changing their own masses,
o bringing masses to the weak bosons,
o bringing masses to the families of quarks and leptons.
- The only gauge fields which do not couple to these scalars and remain massless are
o the electromagnetic,
o colour vector gauge fields, $o$ and gravity.
- There are two times four decoupled massive families of quarks and leptons after the electroweak break:
o There are the observed three families among the lower four, the fourth to be observed.
o The stable among the upper four families form the dark matter.
- All the families are singlets with respect to $\widetilde{S U}(3)$ group, originating in the second kind of the Cliffoird algebra object $\tilde{\gamma}^{a}$.
- It is extremely encouraging for the spin-charge-family theory, that a simple starting action contains all the degrees of freedom observed at low energies, directly or indirectly, and that only the
o condensate and
o nonzero vacuum expectation values of all the scalar fields with $s=(7,8)$
are needed that the theory explains
$o$ all the assumptions of the standard model,
o explaining also the dark matter,
o the matter/antimatter asymmetry,
o and...

The spin-charge-family theory is a kind of a Kaluza-Klein-like theory, but with two kinds of spins.

In d-dimensional space there are fermions with two kinds of spins and gravity, represented by two spin connection and vielbein gauge fields.
J. of Mod. Phys. 4 (2013) 823,

Phys. Rev. D 91 (2015) 6, 065004, doi:10.1103,
J. of Mod. Phys. 6 (2015) 2244-2274,
http://dx.doi.org./10.4236/jmp.2015.615230
[http://arxiv.org/abs/1409.4981],
J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 845012017
(http://iopscience.iop.org/1742-6596/845/1/012017)

A short look "inside" the spin-charge-family theory.

There are only two kinds of the Clifford algebra objects in any d:

- The Dirac $\gamma^{a}$ operators (used by Dirac 90 years ago).
- The second one: $\tilde{\gamma}^{a}$, which I recognized in the Grassmann space.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\{\gamma^{\mathbf{a}}, \gamma^{\mathbf{b}}\right\}_{+} & =2 \eta^{\mathbf{a b}}=\left\{\tilde{\gamma}^{\mathbf{a}}, \tilde{\gamma}^{\mathbf{b}}\right\}_{+}, \\
\left\{\gamma^{\mathbf{a}}, \tilde{\gamma}^{\mathbf{b}}\right\}_{+} & =0, \\
\left(\tilde{\gamma}^{\mathbf{a}} \mathbf{B}:\right. & \left.=\mathbf{i}(-)^{\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{B}}} \mathbf{B} \gamma^{\mathbf{a}}\right) \mid \psi_{0}> \\
(\mathbf{B} & \left.=a_{0}+a_{a} \gamma^{a}+a_{a b} \gamma^{a} \gamma^{b}+\cdots+a_{a_{1} \cdots a_{d}} \gamma^{a_{1}} \cdots \gamma^{a_{d}}\right) \mid \psi_{0}>
\end{aligned}
$$

$(-)^{n_{B}}=+1,-1$, when the object $B$ has a Clifford even or odd character, respectively. $\mid \psi_{0}>$ is a vacuum state on which the operators $\gamma^{a}$ apply.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{a b}}:=(\mathbf{i} / \mathbf{4})\left(\gamma^{\mathbf{a}} \gamma^{\mathbf{b}}-\gamma^{\mathbf{b}} \gamma^{\mathbf{a}}\right), \\
& \tilde{\mathbf{S}}^{\mathbf{a b}}:=(\mathbf{i} / \mathbf{4})\left(\tilde{\gamma}^{\mathbf{a}} \tilde{\gamma}^{\mathbf{b}}-\tilde{\gamma}^{\mathbf{b}} \tilde{\gamma}^{\mathbf{a}}\right), \\
& \left\{\mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{a b}}, \tilde{\mathbf{S}}^{\text {cd }}\right\}_{-}=\mathbf{0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

- $\tilde{S}^{\text {ab }}$ define the equivalent representations with respect to $\mathbf{S}^{\text {ab }}$.

My recognition:

- If $\gamma^{a}$ are used to describe the spin and the charges of spinors,
$\tilde{\gamma}^{a}$ - since it must be used or show why it does not manifest - it must be used to describe families of spinors

A simple action for a spinor which carries in $d=(13+1)$ only two kinds of spins (no charges) and for gauge fields:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{S}= & \int d^{d} \times E \mathcal{L}_{f}+ \\
& \int d^{d} \times E(\alpha R+\tilde{\alpha} \tilde{R})
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{f} & =\frac{1}{2}\left(\bar{\psi} \gamma^{a} p_{0 a} \psi\right)+\text { h.c. } \\
p_{0 a} & =f^{\alpha}{ }_{a} p_{0 \alpha}+\frac{1}{2 E}\left\{p_{\alpha}, E f^{\alpha}{ }_{a}\right\}_{-} \\
\mathbf{p}_{0 \alpha} & =\mathbf{p}_{\alpha}-\frac{\mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{a b}} \omega_{\mathrm{ab} \alpha}-\frac{\mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{2}} \tilde{S}^{\mathrm{ab}} \tilde{\omega}_{\mathrm{ab} \alpha}
\end{aligned}
$$

- The Einstein action for a free gravitational field is assumed to be linear in the curvature

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{g}} & =\mathbf{E}(\alpha \mathbf{R}+\tilde{\alpha} \tilde{\mathbf{R}}), \\
\mathbf{R} & =\boldsymbol{f}^{\alpha\left[\mathrm{a}^{\beta b]}\right.}\left(\omega_{\mathrm{ab} \alpha, \beta}-\omega_{\mathbf{c} \alpha} \omega^{\mathrm{c}}{ }_{\mathbf{b} \beta}\right), \\
\tilde{\mathbf{R}} & =\mathbf{f}^{\alpha\left[\mathbf{a}^{\beta b]}\right.}\left(\tilde{\omega}_{\mathrm{ab} \alpha, \beta}-\tilde{\omega}_{\mathbf{c} a} \alpha \tilde{\omega}^{\mathbf{c}}{ }_{\mathbf{b} \beta}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

with $E=\operatorname{det}\left(e^{a}{ }_{\alpha}\right)$
and $f^{\alpha[a} f^{\beta b]}=f^{\alpha a} f^{\beta b}-f^{\alpha b} f^{\beta a}$.

- The only internal degrees of freedom of spinors (fermions) are the two kinds of the spin.
- The only gauge fields are the gravitational ones vielbeins and the two kinds of spin connections.
- Either $\gamma^{a}$ or $\tilde{\gamma}^{a}$ transform as vectors in $d$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \gamma^{\prime a}=\Lambda^{a}{ }_{b} \gamma^{b}, \quad \tilde{\gamma}^{\prime a}=\Lambda^{a}{ }_{b} \tilde{\gamma}^{b}, \\
& \delta \gamma^{c}=-\frac{i}{2} \alpha_{a b} S^{a b} \gamma^{c}=\alpha^{c}{ }_{a} \gamma^{a}, \\
& \delta \tilde{\gamma}^{c}=-\frac{i}{2} \alpha_{a b} \tilde{S}^{a b} \tilde{\gamma}^{c}=\alpha^{c}{ }_{a} \tilde{\gamma}^{a}, \\
& \delta A^{c \ldots e f}=-\frac{i}{2} \alpha_{a b} \mathcal{S}^{a b} A^{c \ldots e f}=\alpha^{e}{ }_{a} A^{c \ldots a f}, \\
& \mathcal{S}^{a b} A^{c \ldots e \ldots f}=i\left(\eta^{a e} A^{c \ldots b \ldots f}-\eta^{b e} A^{c \ldots a .}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and correspondingly also $f^{\alpha}{ }_{a} \omega_{b c \alpha}$ and $f^{\alpha}{ }_{a} \tilde{\omega}_{b c \alpha}$ transform as tensors with respect to the flat index $a$.

Variation of the action brings for $\omega_{a b \alpha}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega_{a b \alpha}= & -\frac{1}{2 E}\left\{e_{e \alpha} e_{b \gamma} \partial_{\beta}\left(E f^{\gamma\left[e^{\prime}\right.} f^{\beta}{ }_{a]}\right)+e_{e \alpha} e_{a \gamma} \partial_{\beta}\left(E f^{\gamma}{ }_{[b} f^{\beta e]}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-e_{e \alpha} e^{e}{ }_{\gamma} \partial_{\beta}\left(E f^{\gamma}{ }_{[a} f^{\beta}{ }_{b]}\right)\right\} \\
- & \frac{e_{e \alpha}}{4}\left\{\bar{\Psi}\left(\gamma_{e} S_{a b}+\frac{3 i}{2}\left(\delta_{b}^{e} \gamma_{a}-\delta_{a}^{e} \gamma_{b}\right)\right) \Psi\right\} \\
- & \frac{1}{d-2}\left\{e_{a \alpha}\left[\frac{1}{E} e^{d}{ }_{\gamma} \partial_{\beta}\left(E f^{\gamma}{ }_{[d} f^{\beta}{ }_{b]}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \bar{\Psi} \gamma^{d} S_{d b} \Psi\right]\right. \\
& \left.\quad-e_{b \alpha}\left[\frac{1}{E} e^{d}{ }_{\gamma} \partial_{\beta}\left(E f^{\gamma}{ }_{[d} f^{\beta}{ }_{a]}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \bar{\Psi} \gamma^{d} S_{d a} \Psi\right\}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

IARD, J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 845012017
(http://iopscience.iop.org/1742-6596/845/1/012017)
and for $\tilde{\omega}_{a b \alpha}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\omega}_{a b \alpha}= & -\frac{1}{2 E}\left\{e _ { e \alpha } e _ { b \gamma } \partial _ { \beta } \left(E f^{\gamma}\left[e^{\beta} f^{\beta}{ }_{a]}\right)+e_{e \alpha} e_{a \gamma} \partial_{\beta}\left(E f^{\gamma}{ }_{[b} f^{\beta e]}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.-e_{e \alpha} e^{e}{ }_{\gamma} \partial_{\beta}\left(E f^{\gamma}{ }_{[a} f^{\beta}{ }_{b]}\right)\right\} \\
- & \frac{e_{e \alpha}}{4}\left\{\bar{\Psi}\left(\gamma_{e} \tilde{S}_{a b}+\frac{3 i}{2}\left(\delta_{b}^{e} \gamma_{a}-\delta_{a}^{e} \gamma_{b}\right)\right) \Psi\right\} \\
- & \frac{1}{d-2}\left\{e_{a \alpha}\left[\frac{1}{E} e^{d}{ }_{\gamma} \partial_{\beta}\left(E f^{\gamma}{ }_{[d} f^{\beta}{ }_{b]}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \bar{\Psi} \gamma^{d} \tilde{S}_{d b} \Psi\right]\right. \\
& \left.\quad-e_{b \alpha}\left[\frac{1}{E} e^{d}{ }_{\gamma} \partial_{\beta}\left(E f^{\gamma}{ }_{[d} f^{\beta}{ }_{a]}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \bar{\Psi} \gamma^{d} \tilde{S}_{d a} \Psi\right\}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Eur. Phys. J. C, DOI: 10.1140/epjc/s10052-017-4804-y)

## Fermions

- The action for spinors seen from $d=(3+1)$ and analyzed with respect to the standard model groups as subgroups of $S O(1+13)$, J. of Mod. Phys. 4 (2013) 823:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{f}= & \bar{\psi} \gamma^{m}\left(p_{m}-\sum_{A, i} g^{A} \tau^{A i} A_{m}^{A i}\right) \psi+ \\
& \left\{\sum_{s=[7],[8]} \bar{\psi} \gamma^{s} p_{0 s} \psi\right\}+ \\
& \left\{\sum_{s=[5],[6]} \bar{\psi} \gamma^{s} p_{0 s} \psi+\sum_{t=[9], \ldots[14]} \bar{\psi} \gamma^{t} p_{0 t} \psi\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{0 m} & =\left\{p_{m}-\sum_{A} g^{A} \vec{\tau}^{A} \vec{A}_{m}^{A}\right\} \\
m & \in(0,1,2,3) \\
p_{0 s} & =f_{s}^{\sigma}\left[p_{\sigma}-\sum_{A} g^{A} \vec{\tau}^{A} \vec{A}_{\sigma}^{A}-\sum_{A} \tilde{g}^{A} \vec{\tau}^{A} \overrightarrow{\tilde{A}}_{\sigma}^{A}\right] \\
s & \in(7,8), \\
p_{0 s} & =f_{s}^{\sigma}\left[p_{\sigma}-\sum_{A} g^{A} \vec{\tau}^{A} \vec{A}_{\sigma}^{A}-\sum_{A} \tilde{g}^{A} \vec{\tau}^{A} \overrightarrow{\tilde{A}}_{\sigma}^{A}\right] \\
s & \in(5,6), \\
p_{0 t} & =f_{t}^{\sigma^{\prime}}\left(p_{\sigma^{\prime}}-\sum_{A} g^{A} \vec{\tau}^{A} \vec{A}_{\sigma^{\prime}}^{A}-\sum_{A} \tilde{g}^{A} \overrightarrow{\tilde{\tau}}^{A} \overrightarrow{\tilde{A}}_{\sigma^{\prime}}^{A}\right) \\
t & \in(9,10,11, \ldots, 14)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{s}}^{\mathbf{A} i}=\sum_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}} \mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{A i}}{ }_{a b} \omega_{a b s} \\
& \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{t}}^{\mathbf{A i}}=\sum_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}} \mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{A i}{ }_{a b} \omega_{a b t}} \\
& \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathbf{s}}^{\mathbf{A i}}=\sum_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}} \tilde{\mathbf{c}}^{\mathbf{A i}}{ }_{a b} \tilde{\omega}_{\mathbf{a b s}}, \\
& \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathbf{t}}^{\mathbf{A i}}=\sum_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}} \tilde{\mathbf{c}}^{\mathbf{A i}}{ }_{a b} \tilde{\omega}_{a b t}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau^{\mathrm{Ai}} & =\sum_{a, b} c^{A i}{ }_{a b} \mathrm{~S}^{\mathrm{ab}} \\
\tilde{\tau}^{\mathrm{Ai}} & =\sum_{a, b} \tilde{c}^{A i}{ }_{a b} \tilde{\mathbf{S}}^{\mathrm{ab}} \\
\left\{\tau^{\mathrm{Ai}}, \tau^{\mathrm{Bj}}\right\}_{-} & =i \delta^{A B} f^{A i j k} \tau^{\mathbf{A k}} \\
\left\{\tilde{\tau}^{\mathrm{Ai}}, \tilde{\tau}^{\mathrm{Bj}}\right\}_{-} & =i \delta^{A B} f^{A i j k} \tilde{\tau}^{\mathbf{A k}} \\
\left\{\tau^{\mathbf{A i}}, \tilde{\tau}^{\mathrm{Bj}}\right\}_{-} & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

o $\tau^{A i}$ stay for the standard model charge groups, for the second $S U(2)_{I I}$, for the "spinor" charge,
o $\tilde{\tau}^{A i}$ denote the family quantum numbers.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\vec{N}_{(L, R)}:= & \frac{1}{2}\left(S^{23} \pm i S^{01}, S^{31} \pm i S^{02}, S^{12} \pm i S^{03}\right) \\
\vec{\tau}^{(1,2)}:= & \frac{1}{2}\left(S^{58} \mp S^{67}, S^{57} \pm S^{68}, S^{56} \mp S^{78}\right), \\
\vec{\tau}^{3}:=\quad & \frac{1}{2}\left\{S^{912}-S^{1011}, S^{911}+S^{1012}, S^{910}-S^{1112},\right. \\
& S^{914}-S^{1013}, S^{913}+S^{1014}, S^{1114}-S^{1213} \\
& \left.S^{1113}+S^{1214}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left(S^{910}+S^{1112}-2 S^{1314}\right)\right\}, \\
& -\frac{1}{3}\left(S^{910}+S^{1112}+S^{1314}\right), \quad Y:=\tau^{4}+\tau^{23}, \\
\tau^{4}:=\quad & -\tau^{4} \tan ^{2} \vartheta_{2}+\tau^{23}, Q:=\tau^{13}+Y, Q^{\prime}:=-Y \tan ^{2} \vartheta_{1}+\tau^{13},
\end{aligned}
$$

and equivalently for $\tilde{S}^{a b}$ and $\mathcal{S}^{a b}$.

# Breaks of symmetries when starting with the massless spinors (fermions), vielbeins and two kinds of spin connection fields 



The Standard Model like way of breaking

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{SO}(1,3) \times \stackrel{\downarrow}{U}(1) \times \operatorname{SU}(3) \\
\times(\text { two groups of four massive families })
\end{gathered}
$$

Breaking the starting symmetry from:

- $S O(1,13) \times \widetilde{S O}(1,13)$ to $S O(1,7) \times \widetilde{S O}(1,7) \times U(1)_{I I} \times S U(3)\left(\right.$ at $\left.E \geq 10^{16} \mathrm{GeV}\right)$
o makes the spin (the handedness) in $d=(1+3)$ of two massless groups of four families of spinors connected with the weak and the hyper charge,
- $S O(1,7) \times \widetilde{S O}(1,7) \times U(1)_{\| \prime} \times S U(3)$ to
$S O(1,3) \times S U(2)_{I} \times S U(2)_{\|} \times U(1)_{\|} \times S U(3)$
$\widetilde{S O}(1,3) \times \widetilde{S U}(2) । \times \widetilde{S U}(2) \|$
o makes that each member of the two groups of four massless families manifests in $d=(1+3)$ the weak $\left(S U(2)_{I}\right)$, the hyper $\left(S U(2)_{\|}\right)$, the colour $(S U(3))$ and the "spin charge" ( $U(1)=\tau^{4}$ ).
- Both breaks leave eight families $\left(2^{8 / 2-1}=8\right.$, determined by the symmetry of $S O(1,7)$ ) massless. All the families are $\widetilde{S U}(3)$ chargeless.
- The appearance of the condensate of the two right handed neutrinos, coupled to spin 0 , makes the boson gauge fields, with which the condensate interacts, massive. These gauge fields are:
o All the scalar gauge fields with the space index $s \geq 5$.
o The vector ( $m \leq 3$ ) gauge fields with the charges, which are the superposition of $S U(2)_{\| /}$and $U(1)_{\text {/I }}$ charges.
- The colour, elm, weak and hyper vector gauge fields do not interact with the condensate and remain massless.
- At the electroweak break from
$S O(1,3) \times S U(2), \times U(1), \times S U(3)$ to
$S O(1,3) \times U(1) \times S U(3)$
o scalar fields with the space index $s=(7,8)$ obtain nonzero vacuum expectation values, o break correspondingly the weak and the hyper charge and change their own masses.
o They leave massless only the colour, elm and gravity gauge fields.
- All the eight massless families gain masses.
- The mass matrices of each family member manifest the $\widetilde{S U}(2) \times \widetilde{S U}(2) \times U(1)$ symmetry, which remains unchanged in all loop corrections.
- To the electroweak break several scalar fields, the gauge fields of twice $\widetilde{S U}(2) \times \widetilde{S U}(2)$ and three $\times U(1)$, contribute, all with the weak and the hyper charge of the standard model Higgs.
- They carry besides the weak and the hyper charge either $o$ the family members quantum numbers originating in ( $\mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{Q}^{\prime}, \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}$ ) or
o the family quantum numbers originating in twice $\widetilde{S U}(2) \times \widetilde{S U}(2)$.
- Both, $S O(n)$ and $\widetilde{S O}(n)$ (are assumed) to break simultaneously.
- We studied (with H.B. Nielsen, T. Troha and D. Lukman) on a toy model of $d=(1+5)$ conditions which lead after breaking symmetries to massless spinors chirally coupled to the Kaluza-Klein-like gauge fields,

New J. Phys. 13 (2011) 103027, 1-25, Int. J Mod. Phys. A 29, 1450124 (2014), 21 pages, DOI: 10.1142/S0217751X14501243.


Families of quarks and leptons and antiquarks and antileptons

## Our technique to represent spinors is elegant.

- N. S. Mankoč Borštnik, J. of Math. Phys. 34, 3731 (1993),
- N.S.M.B. Int. J. of Modern Phys. A 9, 1731 (1994),
- N.S.M.B. J. of Math. Phys. 36, 1593 (1995),
- J. of Math. Phys. 43, 5782-5803 (2002), hep-th/0111257,
- J. of Math. Phys. 444817 (2003), hep-th/0303224,
- J. of High Ener. Phys. 04 (2014) 165, arxiv:1212.2362v2, the last three with H.B. Nielsen.

The spinors states are created out of
nilpotents $(\stackrel{a b}{ \pm i)}$ and projectors $[ \pm i]$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&( \pm \mathbf{i b}):= \frac{1}{2}\left(\gamma^{\mathbf{a}} \mp \gamma^{\mathbf{b}}\right),\left[ \pm \mathbf{a b}:=\frac{1}{2}\left(1 \pm \gamma^{\mathbf{a}} \gamma^{\mathbf{b}}\right)\right. \\
& \text { for } \eta^{\mathrm{aa}} \eta^{b b}=-1, \\
&( \pm):= \frac{1}{2}\left(\gamma^{\mathbf{a}} \pm \mathbf{i} \gamma^{\mathbf{b}}\right),[ \pm]:=\frac{1}{2}\left(1 \pm i \gamma^{\mathbf{a}} \gamma^{\mathbf{b}}\right) \\
&( \pm) \\
& \text { for } \eta^{\mathrm{aa}} \eta^{b b}=1
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\gamma^{a}$ which are usual Dirac operators in $d$-dimensional space.

Nilpotents $\left(\stackrel{a b}{ \pm i)}\right.$ and projectors $[ \pm i]$ are eigensates of $S^{a b}$ and $\tilde{S}^{a b}$.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{ab}}(\mathbf{k})=\frac{k^{\mathrm{ab}}}{2}(\mathbf{k}), & \mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{ab}}[\mathbf{k}]=\frac{k^{\mathrm{ab}}}{2}[\mathbf{k}], \\
\tilde{\mathbf{S}}^{\mathrm{ab}}(\mathbf{k})=\frac{k}{2}(\mathbf{k}), & \tilde{\mathbf{S}}^{\mathrm{ab}}[\mathbf{k}]=-\frac{k^{\mathrm{b}}}{2}[\mathbf{k}] .
\end{array}
$$

$\gamma^{a}$ transforms $\stackrel{a b}{(k)}$ into $\left[\begin{array}{c}a b \\ {[-k],}\end{array}\right.$
$\tilde{\gamma}^{a}$ transforms $\binom{a b}{(k)}$ into $\stackrel{a b}{[k]} \begin{gathered}a b \\ {[k] .}\end{gathered}$
$\left[\begin{array}{c}a b \\ {[-k] .}\end{array}\right.$

- One Weyl representation of one family contains all the family members with the right handed neutrinos included. It includes also antimembers, reachable by $\mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{N}} \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$ on a family member.
- There are $2^{(7+1) / 2-1}=8$ families, which decouple into twice four families, with the quantum numbers $\left(\tilde{\tau}^{2 i}, \tilde{N}_{R}^{i}\right)$ and $\left(\tilde{\tau}^{1 i}, \tilde{N}_{L}^{i}\right)$, respectively.


## $S^{a b}$ generate all the members of one family. The eightplet

 (represent. of $S O(7,1)$ ) of quarks of a particular colour charge| i |  | $\left.\right\|^{a} \psi_{i}>$ | $\Gamma^{(3,1)}$ | $S^{12}$ | $\Gamma^{(4)}$ | $\tau^{13}$ | $\tau^{23}$ | $Y$ | $\tau^{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Octet, $\Gamma^{(7,1)}=1, \Gamma^{(6)}=-1$, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| of quarks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$\gamma^{0} \gamma^{7}$ and $\gamma^{0} \gamma^{8}$ transform $\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{R}}$ of the $1^{\text {st }}$ row into $\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{L}}$ of the $7^{\text {th }}$ row, and $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{R}}$ of the $4^{\text {rd }}$ row into $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{L}}$ of the $6^{\text {th }}$ row, doing what the Higgs scalars and $\gamma^{0}$ do in the Stan. model.

The anti-eightplet (repres. of $S O(7,1)$ ) of anti-quarks of the anti-colour charge, reachable by either $S^{a b}$ or $\mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{N}} \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}^{(d-1)}$ :

| i |  | $\mid{ }^{a} \psi_{i}>$ | $\Gamma^{(3,1)}$ | $S^{12}$ | $\Gamma^{(4)}$ | $\tau^{13}$ | $\tau^{23}$ | $Y$ | $\tau^{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Antioctet, $\Gamma^{(7,1)}=-1, \Gamma^{(6)}=1$, of antiquarks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 | $\overline{\mathrm{d}}_{\mathrm{L}}^{\bar{c} 1}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{cccc} \hline 03 & 12 & 56 & 78 \\ {[-\mathrm{i}](+)} & 9 & 1011 & 121314 \\ \hline \end{array}+\right)(+)\|\mid c][+] \quad[+] .$ | -1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{3}$ | $-\frac{1}{6}$ |
| 34 | $\bar{d}_{L}^{\bar{c} 1}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0312 \\ (+i)[-] \mid(+)(+) \end{gathered}\left\|\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{c} 56 \\ \hline \end{array}\right.\right][-][+][+]$ | -1 | $-\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{3}$ | $-\frac{1}{6}$ |
| 35 | $\bar{u}_{L}^{\bar{c} 1}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 0312 \\ {[-i](+) \mid[-][-]} \end{array} \\|_{[-]}^{56}{ }^{78}[+][+]$ | -1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 0 | $-\frac{1}{2}$ | $-\frac{2}{3}$ | $-\frac{1}{6}$ |
| 36 | $\bar{u}_{\mathbf{L}}^{\bar{c} 1}$ |  | - 1 | $-\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 0 | $-\frac{1}{2}$ | $-\frac{2}{3}$ | $-\frac{1}{6}$ |
| 37 | $\overline{\mathrm{d}}_{\mathrm{R}}^{\bar{c} 1}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{ccc} 03 & 12 \\ (+\mathrm{i})(+) \mid & 56 & 78 \\ (+) & {[-]} \end{array} \right\rvert\,{ }^{9} 1011121314$ | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | -1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $-\frac{1}{6}$ | $-\frac{1}{6}$ |
| 38 | $\bar{d}_{R}^{\overline{c 1}}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 0312 \\ {[-i][-] \mid(+)[-]} & { }^{56} \\ \hline 18 \\ \hline \end{array}{ }^{9} 1011121314$ | 1 | $-\frac{1}{2}$ | -1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $-\frac{1}{6}$ | $-\frac{1}{6}$ |
| 39 | $\bar{u}_{R}^{\overline{c 1}}$ | $\left.\left.\left.\begin{array}{cc} 03 & 12 \\ (+i)(+) \mid[-](+) & 56 \\ \hline \end{array} \right\rvert\, \begin{array}{c} 9 \\ {[-][+]} \end{array}\right]++\right]$ | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | -1 | $-\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $-\frac{1}{6}$ | $-\frac{1}{6}$ |
| 40 | $\overline{\mathrm{u}}_{\mathrm{R}}^{\overline{\mathrm{c}} 1}$ |  | 1 | $-\frac{1}{2}$ | -1 | $-\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $-\frac{1}{6}$ | $-\frac{1}{6}$ |

$\gamma^{0} \gamma^{7}$ and $\gamma^{0} \gamma^{8}$ transform $\overline{\mathrm{d}}_{\mathrm{L}}$ of the $1^{\text {st }}$ row into $\overline{\mathrm{d}}_{\mathrm{R}}$ of the $5^{\text {th }}$ row, and $\overline{\mathbf{u}}_{\mathrm{L}}$ of the $4^{r d}$ row into $\overline{\mathbf{u}}_{\mathbf{R}}$ of the $8^{\text {th }}$ row.

Vector gauge fields

- All the vector gauge fields, the gauge fields of the observed charges $\tau^{A i}=\sum_{s, t} c^{A i}{ }_{s t} S^{s t}$ manifesting at the observable energies, have all the properties as assumed by the standard model.
- They carry with respect to the space index $m \in(0,1,2,3)$ the vector degrees of freedom, while they have additional internal degrees of freedom $\left(\tau^{A i}\right)$ in the adjoint representations.
- They origin as spin conection gauge fields of $S^{a b}$ : $A_{m}^{A i}=c^{\text {Aist }} \omega_{\text {stm }}$.
- $\mathcal{S}^{a b}$ applies on indexes $(s, t, m)$ as follows

$$
\mathcal{S}^{a b} \omega_{s t m \ldots g}=i\left(\delta_{s}^{a} \omega_{t m \ldots g}^{b}-\delta_{s}^{b} \omega^{a}{ }_{t m \ldots g}\right) .
$$

The action for vectors with respect to the space index $m=(0,1,2,3)$ origin in gravity

$$
\int E d^{4} \times d^{(d-4)} \times \alpha R^{(d)}=\int d^{4} \times\left\{-\frac{1}{4} F^{A i}{ }_{\mu \nu} F^{A i \mu \nu}\right\}
$$

Scalar fields - doublets and triplets with respect to the space index $s \geq 5$

- There are several scalar gauge fields with the space index $\left(\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{s}^{\prime}\right)=(7,8)$, all origin in the spin connection fields, $\tilde{\omega}_{a b s}$ or $\omega_{s^{\prime} t s}$ :
o Twice three triplets, the scalar gauge fields of the family quantum numbers ( $\left.\tilde{\tau}^{A i}=\sum_{a, b} \tilde{c}^{A i}{ }_{a b} \tilde{S}^{a b}\right)$ and o three singlets with the quantum numbers ( $\mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{Q}^{\prime}, \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}$ ), the gauge fields of $S^{s t}$.
- They are all doublets with respect to the space index (5,6,7,8).
- They have all the rest quantum numbers determined by the adjoint representations.
- They explain at the so far observable energies the Higgs's scalar and the Yukawa couplings.
- There are besides doublets, with the space index $s=(5,6,7,8)$, as well triplets and anti-triplets, with respect to the space index $s=(9, \ldots, 14)$.
- There are no additional scalars in the theory.
- All are massless.
- All the scalars have the family and the family members quantum numbers in the adjoint representation.
- The properties of scalars are to be analyzed with respect to the generators of the corresponding subgroups, expressible with $\mathcal{S}^{a b}$, as it is the case of the vector gauge fields.
- It is the (assumed) condensate, which makes those gauge fields, with which it interacts, massive.
o The condensate breaks the CP symmetry.
- The scalar condensate of two right handed neutrinos couple to
o all the scalar and vector gauge fields, making them massive,
o It does not interact with the weak charge $S U(2)_{I}$, the hyper charge $U(1)$, and the colour $S U(3)$ charge gauge fields, as well as the gravity, leaving them massless.
J. of Mod.Phys. 4 (2013) 823-847, J. of Mod.Phys. 6 (2015) 2244-2247, Phys Rev.D 91(2015)6,065004.
- The condensate has spin $S^{12}=0, S^{03}=0$

| state | $\tau^{13}$ | $\tau^{23}$ | $\tau^{4}$ | $Y$ | $Q$ | $\tilde{\tau}^{13}$ | $\tilde{\tau}^{23}$ | $\tilde{Y}$ | $\tilde{Q}$ | $\tilde{N}_{R}^{3}$ | $\tilde{N}_{L}^{3}$ | $\tilde{\tau}^{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left\|\nu_{1 R}^{V I I I}>_{1}\right\| \nu_{2 R}^{V I I I}>_{2}$ | 0 | 1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | -1 |
| $\left\|\nu_{1 R}^{V I I}>_{1}\right\| e_{2 R I I}^{V N I I}>_{2}$ | 0 | 0 | -1 | -1 | -1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | -1 |
| $\left\|e_{1 R}^{V I I I}>_{1}\right\| e_{2 R}^{V I I I}>_{2}$ | 0 | -1 | -1 | -2 | -2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | -1 |

The two doublets, determining the properties of the Higgs's scalar and the Yukawa couplings, are:

$>$|  | state | $\tau^{13}$ | $\tau^{23}=Y$ | spin | $\tau^{4}$ | $Q$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $A^{A i}$ | $A_{7}^{A i}+i A_{8}^{A i}$ | $+\frac{1}{2}$ | $-\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\left(\begin{array}{c}(-) \\ A_{i} \\ 56 \\ (-)\end{array}\right.$ | $A_{5}^{A i}+i A_{6}^{A i}$ | $-\frac{1}{2}$ | $-\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 0 | -1 |
| $A_{78}^{A i}$ | $A_{7}^{A i}-i A_{8}^{A i}$ | $-\frac{1}{2}$ | $+\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\left(\begin{array}{c}(+) \\ A_{56}^{A i} \\ (+)\end{array}\right.$ | $A_{5}^{A i}-i A_{6}^{A i}$ | $+\frac{1}{2}$ | $+\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 0 | +1 |

There are $A_{\substack{(8) \\(-)}}^{A i}$ and $A_{\substack{88 \\(+)}}^{A i}$ which gain nonzero vacuum expectation values at the electroweak break.

Index $A i$ determines the family ( $\tilde{\tau}^{A i}$ ) and the family members ( $\mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}$ ) quantum numbers, both in adjoint representations.

Scalars with $s=(7,8)$ gain nonzero vacuum expectation values breaking the weak and the hyper symmetry, and conserving the electromagnetic and colour charge.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{A}_{s}^{A i} & \supset\left(\mathbf{A}_{s}^{\mathbf{Q}}, \mathbf{A}_{s}^{\mathbf{Q}^{\prime}}, \mathbf{A}_{s}^{Y^{\prime}}, \tilde{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}}_{\mathbf{s}}^{\tilde{\mathbf{1}}}, \tilde{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\tilde{L}}, \tilde{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}}_{\mathbf{s}}^{\tilde{2}^{2}}, \tilde{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}}_{\mathbf{s}}^{\tilde{\mathbf{N}}_{\tilde{\mathbf{R}}}}\right) \\
\tau^{\mathrm{Ai}^{i}} & \supset\left(\mathbf{Q}, \quad \mathbf{Q}^{\prime}, \quad \mathbf{Y}^{\prime}, \tilde{\tau}^{1}, \quad \tilde{\mathbf{N}}_{\mathbf{L}}, \tilde{\tilde{\tau}}^{2}, \quad \tilde{\mathbf{N}}_{\mathbf{R}}\right) \\
\mathrm{s} & =(7,8)
\end{aligned}
$$

Ai denotes family quantum numbers and ( $Q, Q^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}$ ), ( $\tilde{\tau}^{1}, \tilde{\tilde{N}}_{\mathrm{L}}$ ) quantum numbers of the first group of four families and
$\left(\tilde{\tilde{\tau}}^{2}, \tilde{\tilde{\mathbf{N}}}_{\mathbf{R}}\right)$ ) quantum numbers of the second group of four families.
$A_{s}^{A i}$ are expressible with either $\omega_{s t s^{\prime}}$ or $\tilde{\omega}_{a b s^{\prime}}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\overrightarrow{\tilde{A}}_{s}^{1} & =\left(\tilde{\omega}_{58 s}-\tilde{\omega}_{67 s}, \tilde{\omega}_{57 s}+\tilde{\omega}_{68 s}, \tilde{\omega}_{56 s}-\tilde{\omega}_{78 s}\right), \\
\overrightarrow{\tilde{A}}_{s}^{2} & =\left(\tilde{\omega}_{58 s}+\tilde{\omega}_{67 s}, \tilde{\omega}_{57 s}-\tilde{\omega}_{68 s}, \tilde{\omega}_{56 s}+\tilde{\omega}_{78 s}\right), \\
\overrightarrow{\tilde{A}}_{L s}^{N} & =\left(\tilde{\omega}_{23 s}+i \tilde{\omega}_{01 s}, \tilde{\omega}_{31 s}+i \tilde{\omega}_{02 s}, \tilde{\omega}_{12} s+\tilde{\omega}_{03 s}\right), \\
\overrightarrow{\tilde{A}}_{R s}^{N} & =\left(\tilde{\omega}_{23 s}-i \tilde{\omega}_{01 s}, \tilde{\omega}_{31 s}-i \tilde{\omega}_{02 s}, \tilde{\omega}_{12} s-i \tilde{\omega}_{03 s}\right), \\
A_{s}^{Q} & =\omega_{56 s}-\left(\omega_{910 s}+\omega_{1112 s}+\omega_{1314 s}\right), \\
A_{s}^{Y} & =\left(\omega_{56 s}+\omega_{78 s}\right)-\left(\omega_{910 s}+\omega_{1112 s}+\omega_{1314 s}\right) \\
A_{s}^{4} & =-\left(\omega_{9} 10 s+\omega_{1112 s}+\omega_{1314 s}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The mass term - from the starting action - is ( $p_{s}$, when treating the lowest energy solutions, is left out)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{M}= & \sum_{s=(7,8), A i} \bar{\psi} \gamma^{s}\left(-\tau^{A i} A_{s}^{A i}\right) \psi= \\
& -\bar{\psi}\left\{(+) \tau^{78}\left(A_{7}^{A i}-i A_{8}^{A i}\right)+\left({ }^{78}\right) \tau^{A i}\left(A_{7}^{A i}+i A_{8}^{A i}\right)\right\} \psi, \\
& \quad( \pm)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\gamma^{7} \pm i \gamma^{8}\right), \quad A_{( \pm)}^{A i}:=\left(A_{7}^{A i} \mp i A_{8}^{A i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Operators $Y, Q$ and $\tau^{13}$, applied on $\left(A_{7}^{A i} \mp i A_{8}^{A i}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau^{13}\left(A_{7}^{A i} \mp i A_{8}^{A i}\right) & = \pm \frac{1}{2}\left(A_{7}^{A i} \mp i A_{8}^{A i}\right) \\
\mathbf{Y}\left(A_{7}^{A i} \mp i A_{8}^{A i}\right) & =\mp \frac{1}{2}\left(A_{7}^{A i} \mp i A_{8}^{A i}\right), \\
\mathbf{Q}\left(A_{7}^{A i} \mp i A_{8}^{A i}\right) & =0,
\end{aligned}
$$

manifest that all $\left(A_{7}^{A i} \mp i A_{8}^{A i}\right)$ have quantum numbers of the Higgs's scalar of the standard model, "dressing", after gaining nonzero expectation values, the right handed members of a family with appropriate charges, so that they gain charges of the left handed partners:
$\left(A_{7}^{A i}+i A_{8}^{A i}\right)$ "dresses" $u_{R}, \nu_{R}$ and $\left(A_{7}^{A i}-i A_{8}^{A i}\right)$ "dresses" $d_{R}, e_{R}$, with quantum numbers of their left handed partners, just as required by the "standard model".

Ai either measures:
o the $\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{Q}^{\prime}, \mathbf{Y}^{\mathbf{\prime}}$ charges of the family members or
o transforms a family member of one family into the same family member of another family, within each of the two groups of four families,
manifesting in each group of four families the $\widetilde{S U}(2) \times \widetilde{S U}(2)$ symmetry.

Eight families of $u_{R}\left(\operatorname{spin} 1 / 2\right.$, colour $\left.\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{3}}\right)\right)$ and of colourless $\nu_{R} \quad(\operatorname{spin} 1 / 2)$. All have "tilde spinor charge" $\tilde{\tau}^{4}=-\frac{1}{2}$, the weak charge $\tau^{13}=0, \tau^{23}=\frac{1}{2}$. Quarks have "spinor" q.no. $\tau^{4}=\frac{1}{6}$ and leptons $\tau^{4}=-\frac{1}{2}$. The first four families have $\tilde{\tau}^{23}=0, \tilde{N}_{R}^{3}=0$, the second four families have $\tilde{\tau}^{13}=0, \tilde{N}_{L}^{3}=0$.

| $\hat{N}_{R}^{3}=0, \quad \tilde{\tau}^{23}=0$ |  |  | $\tilde{N}_{R}^{3}=0, \quad \tilde{\tau}^{23}=0$ | $\tilde{\tau}^{13}$ | $\hat{N}_{L}^{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $u_{R 1}^{c 1}$ | $\begin{array}{ccccccc} 03 & 12 & 56 & 78 & 9 & 10 & 11 \\ (+i) & 12 & 13 & 14 \\ (+] & {[+]} & (+) & \\| & (+) & {[-]} & {[-]} \end{array}$ | $\nu_{R 1}$ | $\begin{array}{ccccccc} 03 & 12 & 56 & 78 & 9 & 10 & 11 \\ 12 & 13 & 14 \\ (+i) & {[+]} & {[+](+)} & \\| & (+) & (+) & (+) \end{array}$ | $-\frac{1}{2}$ | $-\frac{1}{2}$ |
| $u_{R 2}^{c 1}$ | $\begin{array}{ccccccc}03 & 12 & 56 & 78 & 9 & 10 & 11 \\ {[+i]} & 12 & 13 & 14 \\ {[+]} & (+) & \mid 1 & (+) & {[-]} & {[-]}\end{array}$ | $\nu R 2$ | $\begin{array}{cccccc}03 & 12 & 56 & 78 & 9 & 10 \\ {[+i](+)} & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 \\ ++) & \\| & (+) & (+) & (+)\end{array}$ | $-\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ |
| $u_{R 3}^{c 1}$ | $\begin{array}{ccccccc}03 & 12 & 56 & 78 & 9 & 10 & 11 \\ (+i) & 12 & 13 & 14 \\ (+]) & (+) & {[+]} & \\|(+) & {[-]} & {[-]}\end{array}$ | $\nu_{R} 3$ | $\begin{array}{ccccccc}03 & 12 & 56 & 78 & 9 & 10 & 11 \\ (+i) & {[+]} & 13 & 14 \\ (+) & {[+]} & \\|(+) & (+) & (+)\end{array}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $-\frac{1}{2}$ |
| $u_{R 4}^{c 1}$ | $\begin{array}{ccccccc}03 & 12 & 56 & 78 & 9 & 10 & 11 \\ {[+i]} & 12 & 13 & 14 \\ (+) & (+) & {[+]} & (1+) & (+) & {[-]}\end{array}$ | $\nu_{R} 4$ | $\begin{array}{cccccc}03 & 12 & 56 & 78 & 9 & 10 \\ {[+i]} & 11 & 12 & 1314 \\ (+) & (+) & {[+]} & (+) & (+) & (+)\end{array}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ |
| $\tilde{N}_{L}^{3}=0, \quad \tilde{\tau}^{13}=0$ |  | $\tilde{N}_{L}^{3}=0, \quad \tilde{\tau}^{13}=0$ |  | $\tilde{\tau}^{23}$ | $\tilde{N}_{R}^{3}$ |
| $u_{R 5}^{c 1}$ | $\begin{array}{ccccccc} 03 & 12 & 56 & 78 & 9 & 10 & 11 \\ (+i) & 12 & 13 & 14 \\ (+) & (+)(+) & \\| & (+) & {[-]} & {[-]} \end{array}$ | $\nu_{R} 5$ | $\begin{array}{cccccc} 03 & 12 & 56 & 78 & 9 & 10 \\ 11 & 12 & 1314 \\ (+i) & (+) & (+)(+) & \\| & (+) & (+) \\ (+) \end{array}$ | $-\frac{1}{2}$ | $-\frac{1}{2}$ |
| $u_{R 6}^{c 1}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{ccccccc}03 & 12 & 56 & 78 & 9 & 10 & 11 \\ (+i) & 12 & 13 & 14 \\ (+) & {[+][+]}\end{array} \right\rvert\,$$(+)$ <br> - ) | $\nu_{R} 6$ | $\begin{array}{ccccccc}03 & 12 & 56 & 78 & 9 & 10 & 11 \\ (+i) & (+) & 13 & 14 \\ (+][+] & \\| & (+) & (+) & (+)\end{array}$ | $-\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ |
| $u_{R 7}^{c 1}$ | $\begin{array}{ccccccc}03 & 12 & 56 & 78 & 9 & 10 & 11 \\ {[+i]} & 12 & 13 & 14 \\ (+) & (+)(+) & \mid l & (+) & {[-]} & {[-]}\end{array}$ | $\nu_{R} 7$ | 03 12 56 78 9 10 <br> $+i 1$      <br> $[+i+]$ 12 12 13 14  <br> $+(+)$ $\\|$ $(+)$ $(+)$ $(+)$  | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $-\frac{1}{2}$ |
| $u_{R 8}^{c 1}$ | $\begin{array}{ccccccc}03 & 12 & 56 & 78 & 9 & 10 & 11 \\ {[+i]} & 12 & 13 & 14 \\ {[+]} & {[+][+]} & (1+) & (+) & {[-]} & {[-]}\end{array}$ | $\nu R 8$ | $\begin{array}{ccccccc}03 & 12 & 56 & 78 & 9 & 10 & 11 \\ {[+i]} & 12 & 13 & 14 \\ {[+]} & {[+][+]} & (1) & (+) & (+) & (+)\end{array}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ |

Before the electroweak break all the families are mass protected and correspondingly massless.

- Scalars with the weak and the hyper charge ( $\mp \frac{1}{2}, \pm \frac{1}{2}$ ) determine masses of all the members $\alpha$ of the lower four families, $\nu_{R}$ have nonzero $Y^{\prime}:=-\tau^{4}+\tau^{23}$, and (together with the condensate ) also the masses of the upper four families.
The group of the lower four families manifest the $\widetilde{S U}(2)_{\widetilde{S O}(1,3)} \times \widetilde{S U}(2)_{\widetilde{S O}(4)} \times U(1)$ symmetry (after all loop corrections).

$$
\mathcal{M}^{\alpha}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
-a_{1}-a & e & d & b \\
e^{*} & -a_{2}-a & b & d \\
d^{*} & b^{*} & a_{2}-a & e \\
b^{*} & d^{*} & e^{*} & a_{1}-a
\end{array}\right)^{\alpha}
$$

We made calculations, treating quarks and leptons in equivalent way, as required by the "spin-charge-family" theory. Although

- any ( $\mathrm{n}-1$ ) $\times(\mathrm{n}-1)$ submatrix of an unitary $\mathrm{n} \times \mathrm{n}$ matrix determines the $n \times n$ matrix for $n \geq 4$ uniquely,
- the measured mixing matrix elements of the $3 \times 3$ submatrix are not yet accurate enough even for quarks to predict the masses $m_{4}$ of the fourth family members. o We can say, taking into account the data for the mixing matrices and masses, that $m_{4}$ quark masses might be any in the interval $\left(300<m_{4}<1000\right) \mathbf{G e V}$ or even above.
- Assuming masses $m_{4}$ we can predict mixing matrices.

Results are presented for two choices of $m_{U_{4}}=m_{d_{4}}$, [arxiv:1412.5866]:

- 1. $m_{U_{4}}=700 \mathrm{GeV}, m_{d_{4}}=700 \mathrm{GeV} \ldots .$. new $_{1}$
- 2. $m_{U_{4}}=1200 \mathrm{GeV}, m_{d_{4}}=1200 \mathrm{GeV}$.....new ${ }_{2}$

| $\left\|V_{(u d)}\right\|=$ | exp ${ }_{\text {n }}$ | $0.97425 \pm 0.00022$ | $0.2253 \pm 0.0008$ | $0.00413 \pm 0.00049$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | new $_{1}$ | 0.97423(4) | $0.22539(7)$ | 0.00299 | 0.00776(1) |
|  | new $_{2}$ | 0.97423 [5] | $0.22538[42]$ | 0.00299 | 0.00793[466] |
|  | $\exp _{n}$ | $0.225 \pm 0.008$ | $0.986 \pm 0.016$ | $0.0411 \pm 0.0013$ |  |
|  | new ${ }_{1}$ | 0.22534 (3) | 0.97335 | 0.04245(6) | 0.00349(60) |
|  | new $_{2}$ | 0.22531 [5] | $0.97336[5]$ | 0.04248 | 0.00002[216] |
|  | $\exp _{n}$ | $0.0084 \pm 0.0006$ | $0.0400 \pm 0.0027$ | $1.021 \pm 0.032$ |  |
|  | new ${ }_{1}$ | $0.00667(6)$ | 0.04203(4) | 0.99909 | 0.00038 |
|  | new $_{2}$ | 0.00667 | $0.04206[5]$ | 0.99909 | $0.00024[21]$ |
|  | new ${ }_{1}$ | $0.00677(60)$ | $0.00517(26)$ | 0.00020 | 0.99996 |
|  | new 2 | 0.00773 | 0.00178 | 0.00022 | 0.99997[9] |

- o The matrix elements $V_{C K M}$ depend strongly on the accuracy of the experimental $3 \times 3$ submatrix. o Calculated $3 \times 3$ submatrix of $4 \times 4 \mathrm{~V}_{\text {CKM }}$ depends on the $m_{4^{t h}}$ family masses, but not much.
o $V_{u_{i} d_{4}}, V_{d_{i} u_{4}}$ do not depend strongly on the $m_{4 t h}$ family masses and are obviously very small.
- The higher are the fourth family members masses, the closer are the mass matrices to the democratic matrices for either quarks or leptons, as expected.
- The stable family of the upper four families group is the candidate to form the Dark Matter.
- Masses of the upper four families are influenced : o by the $\widetilde{S U}(2)_{\| \widetilde{S O}(3,1)} \times \widetilde{S U}(2)_{\| \widetilde{S O}(4)}$ scalar fields with the corresponding family quantum numbers,
o by the scalars $\left(\underset{\substack{(8) \\(\mp)}}{Q}, A_{(8)}^{Q^{\prime}}, A_{(8)}^{Y^{\prime}}\right)$, and
o by the condensate of the two $\nu_{R}$ of the upper four families.


# Matter-antimatter asymmetry 

There are also triplet and anti-triplet scalars, $s=(9, . ., d)$ :,

| - |  | state | $\tau^{33}$ | $\tau^{38}$ | spin | $\tau^{4}$ | Q |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $A_{910}^{A i}$ | $A_{9}^{A i}-i A_{10}^{A i}$ | $+\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{3}}$ | 0 | $-\frac{1}{3}$ | $-\frac{1}{3}$ |
|  | $A_{1112}^{(+(+)}$ | $A_{11}^{A i}-i A_{12}^{A i}$ | $-\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{3}}$ | 0 | $-\frac{1}{3}$ | $-\frac{1}{3}$ |
|  | $\begin{gathered} (+(+) \\ A_{1314}^{A(i)} \\ (+)^{\prime} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $A_{13}^{A i}-i A_{14}^{A i}$ | 0 | $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$ | 0 | $-\frac{1}{3}$ | $-\frac{1}{3}$ |
|  | $\begin{gathered} A_{910}^{A i} \\ (-1 \\ (-) \end{gathered}$ | $A_{9}^{A i}+i A_{10}^{A i}$ | $-\frac{1}{2}$ | $-\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{3}}$ | 0 | $+\frac{1}{3}$ | $+\frac{1}{3}$ |
|  | $\begin{gathered} (-1) \\ A_{11}^{A i}(-) \\ \left.(-)^{\prime}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $A_{11}^{A i}+i A_{12}^{A i}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $-\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{3}}$ | 0 | $+\frac{1}{3}$ | $+\frac{1}{3}$ |
|  | $\begin{gathered} A_{1314}^{A_{i}(-)} \\ (-) \end{gathered}$ | $A_{13}^{A i}+i A_{14}^{A i}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$ | 0 | $+\frac{1}{3}$ | $+\frac{1}{3}$ |

They cause transitions from anti-leptons into quarks and anti-quarks into quarks and back, transforming matter into antimatter and back. The condensate breaks CP symmetry, offering the explanation for the matter-antimatter asymmetry in the universe.

Let us look at scalar triplets, causing the birth of a proton from the left handed positron, antiquark and quark:


$$
\begin{gathered}
u_{R}^{c 2} \\
\tau^{4}=\frac{1}{6}, \tau^{13}=0, \tau^{23}=\frac{1}{2} \\
\left(\tau^{33}, \tau^{38}\right)=\left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{3}}\right) \\
Y=\frac{2}{2}, Q=\frac{2}{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

These two quarks, $d_{R}^{c 1}$ and $u_{R}^{c 3}$ can bind (at low enough energy) together with $u_{R}^{c 2}$ into the colour chargeless baryon - a proton.

After the appearance of the condensate the CP is broken.
In the expanding universe, fulfilling the Sakharov request for appropriate non-thermal equilibrium, these triplet scalars have a chance to explain the matter-antimatter asymmetry.

The opposite transition makes the proton decay.

## Dark matter

## $d \rightarrow(d-4)+(3+1)$ before (or at least at) the electroweak break.

- We follow the evolution of the universe, in particular the abundance of the fifth family members - the candidates for the dark matter in the universe.
- We estimate the behaviour of our stable heavy family quarks and anti-quarks in the expanding universe by solving the system of Boltzmanne quations.
- We follow the clustering of the fifth family quarks and antiquarks into the fifth family baryons through the colour phase transition.
- The mass of the fifth family members is determined from the today dark matter density.

Phys. Rev. D (2009) 80.083534


Figure: The dependence of the two number densities $n_{q_{5}}$ (of the fifth family quarks) and $n_{c_{5}}$ (of the fifth family clusters) as the function of $\frac{m_{q_{5}} c^{2}}{T k_{b}}$ is presented for the values $m_{q_{5}} c^{2}=71 \mathrm{TeV}, \eta_{c_{5}}=\frac{1}{50}$ and $\eta_{(q \bar{q})_{b}}=1$. We take $g^{*}=91.5$.

We estimated from following the fifth family members in the expanding universe:
-

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbf{1 0} \mathrm{TeV}<\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{q}_{5}} \mathbf{c}^{2}<\mathbf{4} \cdot \mathbf{1 0}^{2} \mathrm{TeV} \\
\mathbf{1 0}^{-\mathbf{8}} \mathrm{fm}^{2}<\sigma_{\mathbf{c}_{5}}<\mathbf{1 0}^{-6} \mathrm{fm}^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

(It is at least $10^{-6} \times$ smaller than the cross section for the first family neutrons.)

We estimate from the scattering of the fifth family members on the ordinary matter on our Earth, on the direct measurements - DAMA, CDMS,..- ...

$$
200 \mathrm{TeV}<\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{q}_{5}} \mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{2}}<\mathbf{1 0}^{\mathbf{5}} \mathrm{TeV}
$$

- Due to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau^{1+} \tau^{1-} \mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{Ai}} \underset{(+)}{ }{ }_{(+)}=\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{Ai}}{ }_{(+)}{ }_{(+)}, \\
& \tau^{1-} \tau^{1+} \mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{Ai}}{ }_{\left({ }_{(-)}\right.}=\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{Ai}}{ }_{(-)}{ }_{(-)} \text {, } \\
& Q A_{\substack{\text { (7) } \\
\text { (7) }}}=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

the vector gauge fields $A_{m}^{1 \pm}\left(=W_{m}^{ \pm}\right)$and $A_{m}^{Q^{\prime}}\left(=Z_{m}\right)$
$=\cos \theta_{1} A_{m}^{13}-\sin \theta_{1} A_{m}^{Y}$ become massive, while $A_{m}^{Q}\left(=A_{m}\right)$
$=\sin \theta_{2} A_{m}^{13}+\cos \theta_{1} A_{m}^{Y}$ remain massless, if $\frac{g^{1}}{g^{Y}} \tan \theta_{1}=1$.

- Correspondingly the mass term of the vector gauge bosons is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(p_{0 m} A_{(8)}^{A i}\right)^{\dagger}\left(p_{0}^{m} A_{(8)}^{A i}\right) \rightarrow \\
& \left(\frac{1}{(\mp)}\right)^{2}\left(g^{1}\right)^{2} v^{2}\left(\frac{1}{\left(\cos \theta_{1}\right)^{2}} Z_{m}^{Q^{\prime}} Z^{Q^{\prime} m}+2 W_{m}^{+} W^{-m}\right) \\
& \operatorname{Tr}\left(<A_{\mp}^{A i \dagger}><A_{\mp}^{v A i}>\right)=\frac{v^{2}}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

- In the standard model the family members, the families, the gauge vector fields, the scalar Higgs, the Yukawa couplings, exist by the assumption.
- ** In the spin-charge-family theory all these properties follow from the simple starting action with two kinds of spins and with gravity only .
** The theory offers the explanation for the dark matter.
** The theory offers the explanation for the matter-antimatter asymmetry.
** All the scalar and all the vector gauge fields are directly or indirectly observable.

The spin-charge-family theory explains also many other properties, which are not explainable in the standard model, like "miraculous" non-anomalous triangle Feynman diagrams.

The more work is put into the spin-charge-family theory the more explanations for the phenomena follow.

## Concrete predictions:

- There are several scalar fields;
o two triplets, o three singlets, explaining higgss and Yukawa couplings, some of them will be observed at the LHC, JMP 6 (2015) 2244, Phys. Rev. D 91 (2015) 6, 065004.
- There is the fourth family, (weakly) coupled to the observed three, which will be observed at the LHC, New J. of Phys. 10 (2008) 093002.
- There is the dark matter with the predicted properties, Phys. Rev. D (2009) 80.083534.
- There is the ordinary matter/antimatter asymmetry explained and the proton decay predicted and explained, Phys. Rev. D 91 (2015) 6, 065004.

We recognize that:

- The last data for mixing matrix of quarks are in better agreement with our prediction for the $3 \times 3$ submatrix elements of the $4 \times 4$ mixing matrix than the previous ones.
- Our fit to the last data predicts how will the $3 \times 3$ submatrix elements change in the next more accurate measurements.
- Masses of the fourth family lie much above the known three, masses of quarks are close to each other.
- Masses of the fifth family lie much above the known three and the predicted fourth family masses.
- Baryons of the fifth family are heavy, forming small enough clusters with small enough scattering amplitude among themselves and with the ordinary matter to be the candidate for the dark matter.
- The "nuclear" force among them is different from the force among ordinary nucleons.
- The spin-charge-family theory is offering an explanation for the hierarchy problem:
The mass matrices of the two four families groups are almost democratic, causing spreading of the fermion masses from $10^{16} \mathrm{GeV}$ to $10^{-8} \mathrm{MeV}$.

To summarize:

- I hope that I managed to convince you that I can answer many open questions of particle physics and cosmology.
- The collaborators are very welcome!

Let me present in a little more details, what was published since last year Bled workshop, supporting the spin-charge-family theory as a promising theory showing the way beyond the standard models. The ideas and most of proof were developed before.

- Vector and scalar gauge fields with respect to $d=(3+1)$ in Kaluza-Klein theories and in the spin-charge-family theory, Eur. Phys. J. C, DOI: 10.1140/epjc/s10052-017-4804-y).
- The spin-charge-family theory offers understanding of the triangle anomalies cancellation in the standard model, Fortschrite der Physik, Progress of Physisics, www.fp-journal.org, DOI: 10.1002/prop. 201700046 http://arxiv.org/abs/1607.01618.
- Spin-charge-family theory is offering next step in understanding elementary particles and fields and correspondingly universe, Proceedings to the IARD conferences, Ljubljana, 6-9 June 2016, [http://arxiv.org/abs/1409.4981], [arXiv:1607.01618]/v2]


## J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 845012017

(http://iopscience.iop.org/1742-6596/845/1/012017) doi:10.1088/1742-6596/845/1/012017.

In this contribution besides the upper two topics also other solved problems, and as well as the discussions on the arguments, that the fourth family, coupled to the measured three, predicted by the spin-charge-family theory might exist, although the elementary particle physicists do not believe that.

Let me start with the proof that both - vector and scalar gauge fields with respect to $d=(3+1)$ in Kaluza-Klein theories and in the spin-charge-family theory can be represented by either spin connections or vielbeins.
Coauthor: Dragan Lukman

A simple action for a spinor which carries in $d=(13+1)$ only two kinds of spins (no charges) and for gauge fields:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{S}= & \int d^{d} \times E \mathcal{L}_{f}+ \\
& \int d^{d} \times E(\alpha R+\tilde{\alpha} \tilde{R})
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{f} & =\frac{1}{2}\left(\bar{\psi} \gamma^{a} p_{0 a} \psi\right)+\text { h.c. } \\
p_{0 a} & =f^{\alpha}{ }_{a} p_{0 \alpha}+\frac{1}{2 E}\left\{p_{\alpha}, E f^{\alpha}{ }_{a}\right\}_{-} \\
\mathbf{p}_{0 \alpha} & =\mathbf{p}_{\alpha}-\frac{\mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{a b}} \omega_{\mathrm{ab} \alpha}-\frac{\mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{2}} \tilde{S}^{\mathrm{ab}} \tilde{\omega}_{\mathrm{ab} \alpha}
\end{aligned}
$$

- The Einstein action for a free gravitational field is assumed to be linear in the curvature

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{g}} & =\mathbf{E}(\alpha \mathbf{R}+\tilde{\alpha} \tilde{\mathbf{R}}), \\
\mathbf{R} & =\mathbf{f}^{\alpha\left[\mathrm{a}^{\beta b]}\right.}\left(\omega_{\mathrm{ab} \alpha, \beta}-\omega_{\mathrm{ca} \alpha} \omega^{\mathrm{c}}{ }_{\mathbf{b} \beta}\right), \\
\tilde{\mathbf{R}} & =\mathbf{f}^{\alpha\left[\mathrm{a}^{\beta} \mathrm{a}^{\beta b]}\right.}\left(\tilde{\omega}_{\mathbf{a b} \alpha, \beta}-\tilde{\omega}_{\mathbf{c} \mathbf{a} \alpha} \tilde{\omega}^{\mathrm{c}}{ }_{\mathbf{b} \beta}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

with $E=\operatorname{det}\left(e^{a}{ }_{\alpha}\right)$
and $f^{\alpha[a} f^{\beta b]}=f^{\alpha a} f^{\beta b}-f^{\alpha b} f^{\beta a}$.

- $g^{\alpha \beta}=f^{\alpha}{ }_{a} f^{\beta a}, g_{\alpha \beta}=e^{a}{ }_{\alpha} e_{a \beta}$

Variation of the action brings for $\omega_{a b \alpha}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega_{a b \alpha}= & -\frac{1}{2 E}\left\{e_{e \alpha} e_{b \gamma} \partial_{\beta}\left(E f^{\gamma\left[e^{\prime}\right.} f^{\beta}{ }_{a]}\right)+e_{e \alpha} e_{a \gamma} \partial_{\beta}\left(E f^{\gamma}{ }_{[b} f^{\beta e]}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-e_{e \alpha} e^{e}{ }_{\gamma} \partial_{\beta}\left(E f^{\gamma}{ }_{[a} f^{\beta}{ }_{b]}\right)\right\} \\
- & \frac{e_{e \alpha}}{4}\left\{\bar{\Psi}\left(\gamma_{e} S_{a b}+\frac{3 i}{2}\left(\delta_{b}^{e} \gamma_{a}-\delta_{a}^{e} \gamma_{b}\right)\right) \Psi\right\} \\
- & \frac{1}{d-2}\left\{e_{a \alpha}\left[\frac{1}{E} e^{d}{ }_{\gamma} \partial_{\beta}\left(E f^{\gamma}{ }_{[d} f^{\beta}{ }_{b]}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \bar{\Psi} \gamma^{d} S_{d b} \Psi\right]\right. \\
& \left.\quad-e_{b \alpha}\left[\frac{1}{E} e^{d}{ }_{\gamma} \partial_{\beta}\left(E f^{\gamma}{ }_{[d} f^{\beta}{ }_{a]}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \bar{\Psi} \gamma^{d} S_{d a} \Psi\right\}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

IARD, J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 845012017
(http://iopscience.iop.org/1742-6596/845/1/012017)

Let $(d-4)$ space manifest the rotational symmetry

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{\prime \mu} & =x^{\mu} \\
x^{\prime \sigma} & =x^{\sigma}+\varepsilon^{s t}\left(x^{\mu}\right) E_{s t}^{\sigma}\left(x^{\tau}\right)=x^{\sigma}-i \varepsilon^{s t}\left(x^{\mu}\right) M_{s t} x^{\sigma}
\end{aligned}
$$

$M^{s t}=S^{s t}+L^{s t}, L^{s t}=x^{s} p^{t}-x^{t} p^{s}, S^{s t}$ concern internal degrees of freedom of boson and fermion fields,
$\left\{M^{s t}, M^{s^{\prime} t^{\prime}}\right\}_{-}=i\left(\eta^{s t^{\prime}} M^{t s^{\prime}}+\eta^{t s^{\prime}} M^{s t^{\prime}}-\eta^{s s^{\prime}} M^{t t^{\prime}}-\eta^{t t^{\prime}} M^{s s^{\prime}}\right)$.
It follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
-i M_{s t} x^{\sigma} & =E_{s t}^{\sigma}=x_{s} f_{t}^{\sigma}-x_{t} f^{\sigma}{ }_{s}, \\
E_{s t}^{\sigma} & =\left(e_{s \tau} f_{t}^{\sigma}-e_{t \tau} f_{s}^{\sigma}\right) x^{\tau}, \\
M_{s t}{ }^{\sigma}: & =i E_{s t}^{\sigma},
\end{aligned}
$$

and correspondingly: $M_{s t}=E_{s t}^{\sigma} p_{\sigma}$.

Let the corresponding background field ( $g_{\alpha \beta}=e^{a}{ }_{\alpha} e_{a \beta}$ ) be

$$
e^{a}{ }_{\alpha}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\delta^{m}{ }_{\mu} & e^{m}{ }_{\sigma}=0 \\
e^{s}{ }_{\mu} & e^{s}{ }_{\sigma}
\end{array}\right), \quad f^{\alpha}{ }_{a}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\delta^{\mu}{ }_{m} & f^{\sigma}{ }_{m} \\
0=f_{s}^{\mu} & f^{\sigma}{ }_{s}
\end{array}\right),
$$

This leads to

$$
g_{\alpha \beta}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\eta_{m n}+f^{\sigma}{ }_{m} f^{\tau}{ }_{n} e^{s}{ }_{\sigma} e_{s \tau} & -f^{\tau}{ }_{m} e^{s}{ }_{\tau} e_{s \sigma} \\
-f^{\tau}{ }_{n} e^{s}{ }_{\tau} e_{s \sigma} & e^{s}{ }_{\sigma} e_{s \tau}
\end{array}\right),
$$

and

$$
g^{\alpha \beta}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\eta^{m n} & f^{\sigma m} \\
f^{\sigma m} & f^{\sigma}{ }_{s} f^{\tau s}+f^{\sigma}{ }_{m} f^{\tau m}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Statement: Let the space with $s \geq 5$ have the symmetry allowing the infinitesimal transformations of the kind

$$
x^{\prime \mu}=x^{\mu}, \quad x^{\prime \sigma}=x^{\sigma}-i \sum_{A, i, s, t} \varepsilon^{A i}\left(x^{\mu}\right) c_{A i}^{s t} M_{s t} x^{\sigma},
$$

then the vielbeins $f^{\sigma}{ }_{m}$ manifest in $d=(3+1)$ the vector gauge fields $A_{m}^{A i}$

$$
f_{m}^{\sigma}=\sum_{A} \vec{\tau}^{A \sigma} \vec{A}_{m}^{A}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau^{A i} & =\sum_{s, t} c^{A i}{ }_{s t} M^{s t} \\
\tau^{A i \sigma} & =\sum_{s, t}-i^{A i}{ }_{s t} M^{s t \sigma} \\
& =\sum_{s, t} c^{A i}{ }_{s t}\left(e_{s \tau} f^{\sigma}{ }_{t}-e_{t \tau} f^{\sigma}{ }_{s}\right) x^{\tau}=E_{A i}^{\sigma} \\
A_{m}^{A i} & =\sum_{s, t} c^{A i}{ }_{s t} \omega^{s t}{ }_{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
f_{m}^{\sigma}=\sum_{A} \vec{\tau}^{A \sigma} \vec{A}_{m}
$$

When $(d-4)$ space manifests the symmetry $x^{\mu}=x^{\mu}$, $x^{\prime \sigma}=x^{\sigma}-i \sum_{A, i, s, t} \varepsilon^{A i}\left(x^{\mu}\right) c_{A i}{ }^{s t} M_{s t} x^{\sigma}$, and $d=(3+1)$ is a flat space, the curvature $R^{(d)}$ becomes equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
R^{(d)}= & R^{(d-4)} \\
& -\frac{1}{4} \sum_{\substack{A, i, A^{\prime}, i^{\prime}, \sigma, \tau, \mu, \nu}} g_{\sigma \tau} E^{\sigma}{ }_{A i} E^{\tau}{ }_{A^{\prime} i^{\prime}} F^{A i}{ }_{m n} F^{A^{\prime} i^{\prime} m n}, \\
F^{A i}{ }_{m n}= & \partial_{m} A_{n}^{A i}-\partial_{n} A_{m}^{A i}-i f^{A i j k} A_{m}^{A j} A_{n}^{A k}, \\
A_{m}^{A i}= & \sum_{s, t} c^{A i}{ }_{s t} \omega^{s t}{ }_{m}, \\
\tau^{A i}= & \sum_{s, t} c^{A i s t} M_{s t} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The integration of the action $\int E d^{4} \times d^{(d-4)} \times R^{(d)}$ over an even dimensional $(d-4)$ space leads to the well known effective action for the vector gauge fields in $d=(3+1)$ space:
$\int E^{\prime} d^{4} \times\left\{-\frac{1}{4} \sum_{A, i, m, n} F^{A i}{ }_{m n} F_{A i}{ }^{m n}\right.$, where $E^{\prime}$ is determined by the gravitational field in $(3+1)$ space $\left(E^{\prime}=1\right.$, if $(3+1)$ space is flat).

Let me present the proof that the spin-charge-family theory offers understanding of the triangle anomalies cancellation in the standard model.

The standard model has for massless quarks and leptons "miraculously" no triangle anomalies due to the fact that the sum of all possible traces $\operatorname{Tr}\left[\tau^{A i} \tau^{B j} \tau^{C k}\right]$ - where $\tau^{A i}, \tau^{B i}$ and $\tau^{C k}$ are the generators of one, of two or of three of the groups $S U(3), S U(2)$ and $U(1)$ - over the representations of one family of the left handed fermions and anti-fermions (and separately of the right handed fermions and anti-fermions), contributing to the triangle currents, is equal to zero. This trangle anomaly cancellation follows straightforwardly if the $S O(3,1), S U(2), U(1)$ and $S U(3)$ are the subgroups of the orthogonal group $S O(13,1)$, as it is in the spin-charge-family theory. It is not difficult to see that also the $S O(10)$ anomaly cancellation works, provided that handedness and charges are related "by hand".

The triangle anomaly of the standard model occurs if the traces $\operatorname{Tr}\left[\tau^{A i} \tau^{B j} \tau^{C k}\right]$ are not zero for either the left handed quarks and leptons and anti-quarks and anti-leptons or the right handed quarks and leptons and anti-quarks and anti-leptons for the Feynman triangle diagrams in which the gauge vector fields of the type

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U(1) \times U(1) \times U(1) \\
& S U(2) \times S U(2) \times U(1) \\
& S U(3) \times S U(3) \times S U(3) \\
& S U(3) \times S U(3) \times U(1) \\
& U(1) \times \text { gravitational }
\end{aligned}
$$

contribute to the triangle anomaly.

From only the standard model assumptions point of view the cancellation of the triangle anomalies does look miraculously. For $\sum_{i_{L, R}}\left(Y_{i_{L, R}}\right)^{3}$ one obtains:

- For the left handed members:

$$
3 \cdot 2 \cdot\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)^{3}+2 \cdot\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{3}+3 \cdot\left(\left(-\frac{2}{3}\right)^{3}+\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^{3}\right)+1^{3}, \text { and }
$$

- For the right handed members:

$$
3 \cdot\left(\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{3}+\left(-\frac{1}{3}\right)^{3}\right)+(-1)^{3}+3 \cdot 2 \cdot\left(-\frac{1}{6}\right)^{3}+2 \cdot\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^{3}
$$

Properties of the left handed quarks and leptons and of the left handed anti-quarks and anti-leptons in the first table and of the right handed quarks and leptons and the right handed anti-quarks and anti-leptons in the second table, as assumed by the standard model, are presented in the first eight columns. In the last two columns the two quantum numbers are added, which fermions and anti-fermions would have if the standard model groups $S O(3,1)$, $S U(2), S U(3)$ and $U(1)$ are embedded into the $S O(13,1)$ group. The whole quark part appears, due to the colour charges, three times. These quantum numbers are the same for all the families.

Left handed spinors and antispinors

|  |  | hand- <br> edness <br> $\Gamma^{(3,1)}$ | weak <br> charge | hyper <br> charge | colour | charge | elm <br> charge | $S U(2)_{I I}$ <br> charge | $U(1)_{I I}$ <br> charge |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $i_{L}$ | name | $Y$ | $\tau^{33}$ | $\tau^{38}$ | $Q$ | $\tau^{23}$ | $\tau^{4}$ |  |  |$|$

Right handed spinors and antispinors

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline $i_{L}$ \& name \& handedness $\Gamma^{(3,1)}$ \& weak charge $\tau^{13}$ \& hyper charge $Y$ \& colour

$\tau^{33}$ \& charge

$\tau^{38}$ \& \[
$$
\begin{array}{r}
\text { elm } \\
\text { charge } \\
Q
\end{array}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
S U(2)_{\|} \\
\text {charge } \\
\tau^{23}
\end{array}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
U(1)_{I I} \\
\text { charge } \\
\tau^{4}
\end{array}
$$
\] <br>

\hline $1_{R}$ \& $u_{R}$ \& 1 \& 0 \& $\frac{2}{3}$ \& $\frac{1}{2}$ \& $\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{3}}$ \& $\frac{2}{3}$ \& $\frac{1}{2}$ \& $\frac{1}{6}$ <br>

\hline $2 R$ \& $d_{R}$ \& 1 \& 0 \& - $\begin{array}{r}1 \\ \hline\end{array}$ \& $\frac{1}{2}$ \& | $2 \sqrt{3}$ |
| :--- |
| $2 \sqrt{3}$ | \& - $\begin{array}{r}3 \\ -\frac{1}{3}\end{array}$ \& - $\begin{array}{r}2 \\ 2\end{array}$ \& | 1 |
| :--- |
| 1 | <br>

\hline 3 R \& \& 1 \& \& \& $\begin{array}{r}1 \\ -1 \\ \hline\end{array}$ \& $\xrightarrow{2 \sqrt{3}}$ \& 3
2
2 \& 2
1
1 \& 6
1 <br>
\hline $3_{R}$ \& $u_{R}$ \& 1 \& 0 \& $\frac{2}{3}$ \& $-\frac{1}{2}$ \& $\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{3}}$ \& $\frac{2}{3}$ \& $\frac{1}{2}$ \& $\frac{1}{6}$ <br>
\hline $4_{R}$ \& $d_{R}$ \& 1 \& 0 \& $-\frac{1}{3}$ \& $-\frac{1}{2}$ \& $\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{3}}$ \& $-\frac{1}{3}$ \& $-\frac{1}{2}$ \& $\frac{1}{6}$ <br>
\hline $5_{R}$ \& $u_{R}$ \& 1 \& 0 \& $\frac{2}{3}$ \& 0 \& $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$ \& $\frac{2}{3}$ \& $\frac{1}{2}$ \& $\frac{1}{6}$ <br>
\hline $6{ }_{R}$ \& $d_{R}$ \& 1 \& 0 \& $-\frac{1}{3}$ \& 0 \& $\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$ \& $-\frac{1}{3}$ \& $-\frac{1}{2}$ \& $\frac{1}{6}$ <br>
\hline \& \& 1 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& $\frac{1}{2}$ \& - 1 <br>
\hline ${ }_{7}{ }^{8}$ \& $\nu_{R}$ \& \& \& \& \& \& \& $\overline{1}$ \& - $\frac{1}{2}$ <br>
\hline $8_{R}$ \& $e_{R}$ \& 1 \& 0 \& -1 \& 0 \& 0 \& -1 \& $\frac{1}{2}$ \& $-\frac{1}{2}$ <br>
\hline $9_{R}$ \& $\bar{u}_{R}$ \& 1 \& $-\frac{1}{2}$ \& $-\frac{1}{6}$ \& $-\frac{1}{2}$ \& $-\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{3}}$ \& $-\frac{2}{3}$ \& 0 \& $-\frac{1}{6}$ <br>
\hline $10_{R}$ \& $\bar{d}_{R}$ \& 1 \& $\frac{1}{2}$ \& $-\frac{1}{6}$ \& $-\frac{1}{2}$ \& $-\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{3}}$ \& $\frac{1}{3}$ \& 0 \& $-\frac{1}{6}$ <br>
\hline $11_{R}$ \& \& \& \& - $\begin{array}{r}1 \\ -1\end{array}$ \& ${ }_{1}^{1}$ \& - $\begin{array}{r}2 \sqrt{3} \\ -1\end{array}$ \& $\begin{array}{r}1 \\ -\frac{2}{3} \\ \hline\end{array}$ \& 0 \& $\begin{array}{r}1 \\ -1 \\ \hline\end{array}$ <br>
\hline $11_{R}$ \& $\bar{u}_{R}$ \& 1 \& $-\frac{1}{2}$ \& $-\frac{1}{6}$ \& $\frac{1}{2}$ \& $-\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{3}}$ \& $-\frac{2}{3}$ \& 0 \& $-\frac{1}{6}$ <br>
\hline $12_{R}$ \& $\bar{d}_{R}$ \& 1 \& $\frac{1}{2}$ \& $-\frac{1}{6}$ \& $\frac{1}{2}$ \& $-\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{3}}$ \& $\frac{1}{3}$ \& 0 \& $-\frac{1}{6}$ <br>
\hline $13_{R}$ \& $\bar{u}_{R}$ \& 1 \& $-\frac{1}{2}$ \& $-\frac{1}{6}$ \& 0 \& $\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$ \& $-\frac{2}{3}$ \& 0 \& $-\frac{1}{6}$ <br>
\hline $14_{R}$ \& $\bar{d}_{R}$ \& 1 \& $\frac{1}{2}$ \& - $\frac{1}{6}$ \& 0 \& $\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$ \& $\frac{1}{3}$ \& 0 \& - $\frac{1}{6}$ <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& $\sqrt{3}$ \& \& \& <br>
\hline $15_{R}$ \& $\bar{\nu}_{R}$ \& 1 \& \& $\frac{1}{2}$ \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& $\frac{1}{2}$ <br>
\hline $16_{R}$ \& $\bar{e}_{R}$ \& 1 \& $\frac{1}{2}$ \& $\frac{1}{2}$ \& 0 \& 0 \& 1 \& 0 \& $\frac{1}{2}$ <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

| i |  | $\left.\right\|^{2} \psi_{i}>$ | $\Gamma^{(3,1)}$ | $s^{12}$ | $\tau^{13}$ | $\tau^{23}$ | $\tau^{4}$ | Y |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (Anti)octet, $\Gamma^{(7,1)}=(-1) 1, \Gamma^{(6)}=(1)-1$ of (anti) quarks and (anti)leptons |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | $u_{R}^{c 1}$ |  | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | $\frac{2}{3}$ |
| 2 | $u_{R}^{c}$ |  | 1 | - ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | $\frac{2}{3}$ |
| 3 | $d_{R}^{c 1}$ |  | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | - $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | $-\frac{1}{3}$ |
| 4 | $d_{R}^{c 1}$ |  | 1 | - $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | - $\frac{1}{2}$ | - | $-\frac{1}{3}$ |
| 5 | ${ }_{d_{L}^{c}}^{c^{1}}$ |  | -1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | - $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ |
| 6 | $d_{L}^{c 1}$ |  | -1 | - $\frac{1}{2}$ | - $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ |
| 7 | $u_{L}^{c 1}$ | (e) | -1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ |
| 8 | $u_{L}^{c}$ |  | -1 | - $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ |
| 9 | $u_{R}^{c}$ |  | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | $\frac{2}{3}$ |
| 10 | $u_{R}^{c}$ |  | 1 | $-\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | $\frac{2}{3}$ |
| 11 | $d_{R}^{c}$ |  | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | - $-\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | $-\frac{1}{3}$ |
| 12 | $d_{R}^{\text {c }}$ |  | 1 | $-\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $-\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | $-\frac{1}{3}$ |
| 13 | $d_{L}^{c}$ |  | -1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | - $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ |
| 14 | ${ }_{\text {d }}^{\text {c }}$ |  | -1 | - $\frac{1}{2}$ | - $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $\cdots$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ |
| 15 | $u_{L}^{c}$ | - ${ }^{03}$ | -1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 6 | $\frac{1}{6}$ |
| 16 | $u_{L}^{c}$ |  | -1 | - $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ |

In the case of embedding the standard model groups into $S O(13,1)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i_{L, R}}\left(Y_{i_{L, R}}\right)^{3} & =\sum_{i_{L, R}}\left(\tau_{i_{L, R}}^{4}+\tau_{i_{L, R}}^{23}\right)^{3}=\sum_{i_{L, R}}\left(\tau_{i_{L, R}}^{4}\right)^{3}+\sum_{i_{L, R}}\left(\tau_{i_{L, R}}^{23}\right)^{3} \\
& +\sum_{i_{L, R}} 3 \cdot\left(\tau_{i_{L, R}}^{4}\right)^{2} \cdot \tau_{i_{L, R}}^{23}+\sum_{i_{L, R}} 3 \cdot \tau_{i_{L, R}}^{4} \cdot\left(\tau_{i_{L, R}}^{23}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

for either the left, $i_{L}$, or the right, $i_{R}$, handed members. Table of one Weyl representation demonstrates clearly that $\left(Y_{i L, R}\right)^{3}=0$ without really making any algebraic evaluation. Namely, the last column of Table ?? manifests that $\sum_{i_{L}}\left(\tau_{i_{L}}^{4}\right)^{3}=0$ [in details: $\left.\sum_{i_{L}}\left(\tau_{i_{L}}^{4}\right)^{3}=2 \cdot 3 \cdot\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)^{3}+2 \cdot 3 \cdot\left(-\frac{1}{6}\right)^{3}+2 \cdot\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{3}+2 \cdot\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{3}=0\right]$.
Table ?? also demonstrates (the last but one column) that $\left.\sum_{i_{L}}\left(\tau_{i_{L}}^{23}\right)^{3}=0\left[=(3+1) \cdot\left(\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{3}+\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{3}\right)\right)\right]$, and that also
$\sum_{i_{R}}\left(\tau_{i_{R}}^{23}\right)^{3}=0\left[=(3+1) \cdot\left(\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{3}+\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{3}\right)\right]$.

